

GR 99 P 3133

4/p.s.

## Description

Method for the automatic retrieval of engineering data  
from installations

5

The invention relates to a method for the automatic retrieval of engineering data from installations.

10

An automation system of this type is used in particular in the area of automation technology. An automation system of this type generally comprises a multiplicity of individual automation objects, which are frequently highly dependent on the engineering system respectively used.

15

At present there are two basic methods in use. In the first method, the retrieval of the engineering data from the installation is ruled out. Changes to the installation are possible only via the engineering tool. Consequently, the data in the engineering system always reflect the current state and there is no need for information to be reproduced from the installation. This solution has the following disadvantages:

**Strong link between runtime and engineering:** The engineering system must be supplied along with the installation and also be additionally paid for by the customer.

**Changes in the installation cannot be reproduced:** If there are changes in the installation, for example as a result of a device being exchanged, these changes cannot be automatically reproduced in the engineering system.

**High organizational expenditure:** To keep the engineering data up to date, organizational precautions have to be taken to ensure the way in which changes in the installation are introduced into the engineering system.

09/914995-01302

04-25-2001

PCT/DE00/00735

DESCPAMD

1999P03133 WO

PCT/DE 00/00735

- 2 -

The second approach is based on a disassembly of the runtime code. In this case, the executable code of the runtime objects is analyzed and translated into the engineering counterparts. This solution has the

5 following disadvantages:

ξ Elaborate method: The analysis of the runtime code is complex and susceptible to errors.

ξ Implementation-dependent: The implementation of the translation back is strongly dependent on how the  
10 translation process is carried out. Changes to the translation process and in particular the code created necessitate adaptation of the implementation of the translating-back process.

ξ ES information can no longer be produced with  
15 certainty: Since the runtime code is at a semantically lower level than the actual engineering information, it cannot be ensured that the engineering information can be exactly reconstructed.

20 In the specialist article Elmqvist, H.: "A Uniform Architecture for Distributed Automation", Advances in Instrumentation and Control, vol. 46, part 2, 1991, pages 1599-1608, XP000347589 Research Triangle Park, NC, US, a description is given of an automation system  
25 whose objects are programmed in an object- and data-flow-oriented programming language. It uses a graphic programming environment and offers means for the creation of dynamically updated process images. The programming language allows an automatic communication  
30 between distributed objects.

The problem underlying the invention is that of allowing the information contained in an installation

- 2a -

to be automatically reproduced in an engineering system and used again there, for example to plan changes in the installation.

- 5 This object is achieved by a method and by a system with the features specified in claims 1 and 8, respectively.

- 10 In this case, the engineering and runtime objects are described by a uniform object model. As a result, the correspondence between engineering objects and runtime objects can be determined at the object level and no information is lost as a result of the mapping. In addition, a direct communication between engineering  
15 and runtime objects can take place, which can be utilized when the method is carried out.

- The relationship between an engineering object and its runtime counterpart is described in figure 1. The  
20 engineering object ESO has a direct reference, RTO ref, to its

runtime counterpart RTO. This is possible since the runtime objects are available (or become available) at the time of engineering. The runtime object RTO has no direct reference to the associated engineering object.

5 This is necessary to make it possible for the engineering system and runtime system to be separated. Instead of this, the object RTO contains an identifying designation, ESO type ID, referring to the type of engineering object, ESO type. Consequently, required  
10 instances of the ESO type can then be created by the RTO.

With respect to a runtime object RTO, the method for the restoration of engineering information proceeds as  
15 follows:

1. If a runtime object receives the order to retrieve its engineering information, it firstly addresses the type of its engineering object with the order to create a new instance of an engineering object.
- 20 2. In the newly created instance, the runtime object enters a reference to itself and orders the new engineering object to read out its data (that of the runtime object).
3. The new engineering object then reads out the  
25 information from the runtime object and enters the corresponding engineering information in itself.

The invention is described and explained in more detail below on the basis of the exemplary embodiments  
30 represented in the figures, in which:

- figure 1 shows an overview to identify the relationships between engineering objects and runtime objects,
- 35 figure 2 shows a view of an object of an installation by way of example,
- figure 3 shows an illustration of the creation of device representatives in the engineering,

208770-5664560

figure 4 shows a representation of the creation of the automation objects in the device representatives by way of example and figure 5 shows a layout of the existing communication relationships in the engineering.

The method for the retrieval of engineering information from the installation proceeds in three steps:

Restoration of the device representatives

10 Restoration of the representatives of the automation objects in the engineering

Restoration of the communication relationships between the representatives of the automation objects

The method is described below for the complete retrieval of the engineering information. However, it

15 can equally be used for updating already existing engineering information, i.e. as a delta method.

Hereafter, the overall method is referred to as upload.

In figure 2, the objects involved are listed by way of example. Two automation objects run on each of the two

20 devices RG1 and RG2. The automation objects RAO1 and RAO2 run on RG1, RAO3 and RAO4 run on RG2.

Communication connections are symbolized by lines.

25 Thus, altogether two device-internal and two device-interlinking communication relationships exist.

### **1. Restoration of the device representatives**

The beginning of the upload is initiated from a software system. This may be an engineering system or

30 any other desired system which requires engineering information. One example of this is a system for parameterizing the installation. For the sake of

simplicity, hereafter reference is always made to an engineering system. In the first step, all the devices

35 are requested to create their representation in the engineering. For this purpose, each device returns an identifier of the type of its engineering counterpart.

The engineering system then creates the corresponding

2025-04-14 14:55:44

objects and enters the reference to the actual device in each device representative. By means of the reference, each device representative then reads out the relevant data of "its" device.

5 Figure 3 illustrates what has just been described. The devices of the installation, here RG1 and RG2, receive the request to upload through the engineering system. They then in each case return the identifiers of the types of the engineering representatives. The  
10 engineering system creates the instances G1 and G2 for the corresponding types. These then read out the relevant engineering information from the devices RG1 and RG2.

15 **2. Restoration of the automation objects in the engineering**

In the second step, the representatives of the automation objects are created in the engineering. Via the device assigned to it, each device representative  
20 requests the automation objects of its device to create its counterparts in the engineering. For this purpose, each automation object returns the identifier of the type of its engineering representative. In the engineering system, the corresponding objects are then  
25 again created and provided with a reference to their partner in the runtime environment. After that, each automation object in the engineering inquires the relevant data of its partner.

The result of this operation can be seen in figure 4.  
30 The representative G1 inquires from the device RG1 the automation objects RAO1 and RAO2. These are then requested to upload by G1 and return the identifiers of the types of AO1 and AO2. By means of this information, the instances AO1 and AO2 are created in  
35 the engineering. These then receive a reference to their runtime counterparts RAO1 and RAO2 are finally assigned to the device representative G1. As a result, the information on the device assignment of the

00014905 011800 208770 5664660

GR 99 P 3133

- 5a -

automation objects is available again. Subsequently, AO1 and AO2 read out the information relevant for engineering from RAO1 and RAO2.

203770-56647660

### 3. Restoration of the communication relationships between the automation objects in the engineering

In the final step, the communication relationships between the automation objects are restored. For this purpose, each device representative asks the device assigned to it for its communication relationships. The device then returns a list with both the device-internal and device-interlinking communication relationships. An entry of this list comprises the source and drain of the communication relationship. The source and drain are in each case described by a 3-tuple from the identifier of the physical device, the identifier of the automation object and the identifier of the input or output.

In the engineering system, the entries of the list are converted into references to the inputs and outputs of the representatives of the automation objects. For this purpose, the information from the already created objects (the references of the engineering representatives to their runtime counterparts) is used. Subsequently, the connection in the engineering system is then set up.

An efficient way of carrying out the step will ensure that the list with communication connections created by each device only contains those in which the device appears in the identifier of the source (alternatively of the drain). Furthermore, an effective method will buffer-store the relationships between engineering representatives and runtime counterparts set up in steps 1 and 2, in order in this way to minimize the searching effort in step 3.

Figure 5 then shows the result of the last step. G1 has inquired the communication relationships from RG1. In response, the relationships between RAO1 and RAO2, RAO1 and RAO3 and between RAO2 and RAO4 were returned.

208770-5667660



GR 99 P 3133

- 6a -

The connections are then converted in the engineering,  
for example the connection

20870" 5654660

between RAO1 and RAO3 is converted to the connection between A01 and A03.

Both the objects of the engineering system and of the  
5 runtime system are based on the same, executable object  
model. The use of the same model makes possible a  
direct interaction at model level (data exchange and  
communication) between the engineering objects and  
10 runtime objects. Furthermore, a unique mapping, which  
is independent of the implementation of the objects, is  
defined by the defined assignment between the  
engineering and runtime objects.

This gives rise to the following advantages for the  
15 method:

**Separation of engineering and runtime possible:** Changes  
do not necessarily have to be carried out with the  
engineering tool. If need be, the changes can be  
introduced into the engineering system at any time.

20 **Simple method:** By determining the method at the level  
of explicit models, the method can be described in  
general terms and so becomes more reliable.

**Simple and complete mapping:** There is a defined  
relationship between the runtime and engineering  
25 objects, making complete restoration of the engineering  
information possible.

**Stable with respect to changes in implementation:**  
Implementation of the runtime and engineering objects  
can be changed over without having any influence on the  
30 mapping and consequently on the way in which the method  
is carried out.

**Non-tool-specific:** The upload mechanism can also be  
used by other tools and not just by the engineering  
system.

09044995 011802  
208770 56647600